

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/838,494	04/19/2001	Robert B. Havekost	06005/37172	8946		
4743	7590 01/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			SWARTHOU	SWARTHOUT, BRENT		
6300 SEARS ' 233 S. WACK		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2636			
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2003	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		09/838,	494	HAVEKOST, ROE	BERT B.			
		Examine	ər	Art Unit				
			Swarthout	2636				
<i> TI</i> Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this communicate Poly	ition appears on ti	ne cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	idress			
THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (i - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to i Any reply i	FENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS FORM THE MAILING ABOVE IS LESS THAN THIS (30) OF THE MAILING ABOVE IS LESS THAN THIS (30) OF THE MAILING ABOVE IS LESS THAN THIS (30) OF THE MAILING ABOVE THE	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ection. lays, a reply within the story period will apply and, by statute, cause the apply statute,	event, however, may a re atutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. xommunication.			
Status								
1) <u></u> Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a)⊠ Thi	s action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is	non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition (of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	im(s) <u>1-8,10-26 and 28-38</u> is/are per Of the above claim(s) is/are im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) <u>1-8,10-26 and 28-38</u> is/are regim(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from c	onsideration.					
Application I	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.			•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	licant may not request that any objection		•	, ,				
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be				• •			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	nowledgment is made of a claim for II b) Some * c) None of:	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docum I Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Ap nents have been i ule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Therview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of [3) Information	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTos)/Mail Date		Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/838,494 Page 2

Art Unit: 2636

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- a. Claims 1-6,8,10-16,18-26,28-33 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandelman et al. in view of Brown et al.
 Claims are rejected for the reasons set forth previously in paragraph No. 1 of the Office action mailed 6-24-04, and arguments as presented in the following remarks.
- 2. Claims 7,17 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandelman et al. in view of Brown and Yamada.

Claims are rejected for the reasons as set forth in paragraph No. 2 of the Office action mailed 6-24-04, and arguments as presented in the following remarks.

Remarks

In applicant's remarks filed 9-27-04 it is stated that the references do not show an alarm message having first information and second information appended, for mapping against a device alarm table to abstract contextual alarm information, and to display the alarm information.

However, Sandelman teaches monitoring plural devices 2,3,4,5 (Fig. 1), and sending alarm messages of different categories (col.8, lines 1-8), including sending first information including status and unit type, and also appending a second message including specific exception condition (col.6, lines 54-65), selecting an alarm table

based on the specific exception condition (col.13, lines 34-49; col. 14, lines 37-56), including contextual alarm information (col.12, lines 31-39), and displays the contextual alarm information at a workstation, such as a fax machine, modem or pager (col.14, lines 2-4).

Use of plural fields as suggested by Brown would have been obvious in order to allow additional information to be transmitted which would have permitted devices made by different manufacturers to communicate over a standard bus.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brent A Swarthout whose telephone number is 571-272-2979. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 4:00.

Art Unit: 2636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass, can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brent A Swarthout

Examiner

Art Unit 2636

BRENT A. SWARTHOUT PRIMARY EXAMINER